

GEOFFREY R. RADVANSKY,

Plaintiff,

JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS

V.

GENERAL VERDICT FORM #1

OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,

Defendants.


SECTION 1983 CLAIM FOR UNLAWFUL ARREST

Please check only **ONE** of the following two choices:

On Plaintiff Radvansky's section 1983 claim for unlawful arrest, we the Jury, being duly impaneled, sworn and affirmed, find in favor of:

1.	Plaintiff Geoffrey R. Radvansky and we fix the damages in the
	sum of: \$
2.	Defendant Thomas Telegdy

Each of us said jurors concurring in said verdict signs their name hereto on this 1444



) }}∌#*			
05 JUN	14	PH	կ։	28
02.501.	•			

		AKKUN		
GEOFFREY R. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV0634		
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS		
v .)	GENERAL VERDICT FORM #2		
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)			
Defendants.)	4		
SECTION 1983 CLAI	M FOR	UNLAWFUL ARREST		
Please check only ONE of the following tw	o choices	: . :		
On Plaintiff Radvansky's section 1983 claim for unlawful arrest, we the Jury, being duly				
impaneled, sworn and affirmed, find in favor of:				
1. Plaintiff Ge	offrey R.	Radvansky and we fix the damages in the		
sum of: \$		· · ·		
2 Defendant I				
Each of us said jurors concurring in said verdict signs their name hereto on this 14th				
day of June, 2005.				



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 1
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a dispute between Derrick Rosemark ("Mr. Rosemark"), the owner of the property located at 26060 Redwood Drive ("the Redwood Dr. residence") and Plaintiff Radvansky?


Answer Yes or No <u>Yes</u>

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

day of June, 2005.



Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 2



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 2
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)
Defendants.	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the dispute between Mr. Rosemark and Plaintiff Radvansky was over money?


Answer Yes or No <u>Yes</u>

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

1414 day of June, 2005.



Continue on to answer Interrogatory #3



05 JUN 14 PM 4:28

THE OF THE PRIOR COURT WERE ALL DISTRICT OF OHIO ARRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 3
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)	
Defendants.))	% .

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the dispute between Mr. Rosemark and Plaintiff Radvansky was over the right to live at the Redwood Dr. residence?


Answer Yes or No <u>Yes</u>

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

/4/1 day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 4

3.





GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 4
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
Defendants.	Ś	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy had prior knowledge of the existence of a dispute between Plaintiff Radvansky and Mr. Rosemark concerning Plaintiff Radvansky's privilege to live at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No 100

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this






CLCTT US DISTRICT COURT RESIDENT DISTRICT OF OHIO AKRON

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v. 1) JURY INTERROGATORY # 5
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)
Defendants.	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer had prior knowledge of the existence of a dispute between Plaintiff Radvansky and Mr. Rosemark concerning Plaintiff Radvansky's privilege to live at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NITED STATES DISTANCE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO OS JUN 14 PM 4: 29


	RRMON
GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 6
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Derrick Rosemark informed Detective Caine that Plaintiff no longer lived at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Answer Yes or No <u>Yes</u>

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this


4 day of June, 2005.



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 7
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)
Defendants.)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy was aware of Derrick Rosemark's statement to Detective Caine that Plaintiff Radvansky no longer lived at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this day of June, 2005.



Continue on to answer Interrogatory #8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION 05 JUN 14 PM 4: 29

ANNUA CHEM THE COURT OF ONE


		,
GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 8
OFFIGER CHICKLE CONT.)	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer was aware of Derrick Rosemark's statement to Detective Caine that Plaintiff no longer lived at the Redwood Dr. residence?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

/444 day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory #9




GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ĄDAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 9
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)	× V
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky abandoned the Redwood Dr. residence prior to the time of arrest?


Answer Yes or No /es

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this



If your answer is "Yes", you must answer "No" to Interrogatory #10, skip Interrogatories #11-14, and continue on to answer interrogatory #15 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #10.




MACHEM V3 DESTRICT COURT MACHEMI DISTMICT OF OHIO ARROH

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634			
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS			
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 10			
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPII SAXER,				
Do you find that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Redwood Dr. residence at the				
time of the arrest?				

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

1944 day of June, 2005.

Answer Yes or No



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 11
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,	
Defendants.	
	f the evidence that Defendant Telegdy was aware that edwood Dr. residence at the time of the arrest?
Trainert radiansky was a teriain at the re-	· ·
Answer Yes or No	
Each of us said jurors concurring i	in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
<u> </u>	
	·
Continue on to answer Interrogatory #12	

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 12
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,	ý
Do you find by a preponderance of	the evidence that Defendant Saxer was aware that
Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at the Red	dwood residence at the time of the arrest?
Answer Yes or No	
Each of us said jurors concurring in day of June, 2005.	n said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatorie # 15 and those thereafter. If your answer is "No", continue on to answer.	es #13 & 14, and continue on to answer Interrogatory ver Interrogatory # 13

) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
JURY INTERROGATORY # 13
))
e evidence that a reasonable person who possesses
would know that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant
ne arrest given the facts and circumstances known to
id answer above and sign our name hereto on this
)

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
\mathbf{v} .) JURY INTERROGATORY # 14
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of	f the evidence that a reasonable person who possesses
the same official expertise as Officer Saxe	er would know that Plaintiff Radvansky was a tenant at
the Redwood Dr. residence at the time of t	the arrest given the facts and circumstances known to
him at the time of the arrest ?	
Answer Yes or No	
Each of us said jurors concurring in	n said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 15	



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 15
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)	


Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Teledgy relied solely on Mr. Rosemark's representations in making the arrest and ignored substantial exculpatory evidence to the contrary?

Answer Yes or No _________

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this day of June, 2005.



If your answer is Yes, continue on to answer Interrogator # 16



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 16
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)
RALPH SAXER,)
)
Defendants.)


Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer relied solely on Mr.

Rosemark's representations in making the arrest and ignored substantial exculpatory evidence to the contrary?

Answer Yes or No 10

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 17



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 17
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the arrest, Defendant Telegdy failed to take into account all the evidence before him prior to placing Plaintiff Radvansky under arrest?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

/444 day of June, 2005.

Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 18

17




)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 18
)	•
)	
)	
)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that at the time of the arrest, Defendant Saxer failed to take into account all the evidence before him prior to placing Plaintiff Radvansky under arrest?

Answer Yes or No //O

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this ////day of June, 2005.






GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.	JURY INTERROGATORY # 19
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Teledgy, at the time of the arrest, had reasonably reliable information, independent of Mr. Rosemark's allegations, that there was a fair probability Plaintiff Radvansky had committed, was committing, or was about to commit a crime?

Answer Yes or No Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this day of June, 2005.



05 //14		-
OS JUN	74	PA
AN AN	378 Ros	COT,


GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 20
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
)	•
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer, at the time of the arrest, had reasonably reliable information, independent of Rosemark's allegations, that there was a fair probability Plaintiff Radvansky had committed, was committing, or was about to commit a crime?

Answer Yes or No Yes

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

/444 day of June, 2005.



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 05 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION CASE NO. 1.02CV634 5 600

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634/RON CT COURT
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 21
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and) ·	
RALPH SAXER,	Ì	·
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy intentionally or recklessly committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No _______

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatory #22 and continue on to answer Interrogatory #23 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Telegdy on General Verdict Form #1, answer Interrogatory #22, skip Interrogatories #23-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #28 and those thereafter.

05	; h.,	74. 76	Jane .	'n
	JUN	14 P.	H ,	
4 100		14 F 2000	·	45

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634	ANTRONG TOTOLOGIO
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 22	
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)		
Defendants.)		

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Telegdy negligently committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?


Answer Yes or No 1/0

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

Whyday of June, 2005

Verdict Form #1, skip Interrogatories #23-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory # 28 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #23 and those thereafter.



GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 23
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of	f the cvidence that Defendant Telegdy's acts were the
proximate or legal cause of damages susta	ained by Plaintiff Radvansky?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

N)0

<u>/41h</u> day of June, 2005.

Answer Yes or No

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgement in favor of Plaintiff Radvansky on General Verdict Form #1, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #24 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgement in favor of Defendant Telegdy on General Verdict Form #1, skip interrogatories #24-27, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #28 and those thereafter.

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 24
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.))
Do you find by a preponderance of the	e evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be
awarded damages to compensate for the reason	onable value of any property lost or destroyed
during, or as a result of, Defendant Telegdy's	unconstitutional acts?
Answer Yes or No	
Each of us said jurors concurring in sa	id answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
	7416
Continue on to engage I de la Hoc	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 25	

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 25
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of	f the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be
awarded damages to compensate for the re	easonable cost of medical care and hospitalization as a
result of, Defendant Telegdy's unconstitut	tional acts?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in	what amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in	n said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 26	

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 26
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of t	the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be
awarded damages to compensate for physic	al as well as emotional pain and mental anguish as a
result of, Defendant Telegdy's unconstitution	onal acts?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in w	vhat amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in	said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 27	

GEOFFRE I M. RADVANSK I,) CASE NO.: 1:02C v 034
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.	JURY INTERROGATORY # 27
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of t	the evidence that Defendant Telegdy acted with
callous or reckless disregard or indifference	e to Plaintiff Radvansky's federally protected rights
and that punitive damages should be assessed	cd against Defendant Telegdy?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in w	what amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in	said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory #28	

Ω5	1	
· VO JUN	14	~~ L) PM 4:45

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 28
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
Defendants,)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer intentionally or recklessly committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Answer Yes or No UO

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", skip Interrogatory #29, and continue on to answer Interrogatory #30 and those thereafter.

If your answer is "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and continue on to only answer Interrogatory #29, skipping Interrogatories #30-34.


05	Ē	a Secura	Santa Contraction	
05 JUN	1	4	PĦ .	4:45

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634	ANRON OF ONL
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS	
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 2	29
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)		
Defendants.)		
Do you find by a preponderance of	f the evic	lence that Defendant Saxer neglig	ently

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer negligently committed acts that violated Plaintiff Radvansky's federal constitutional right not to be arrested or seized without probable cause?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

/(//// day of June, 2005.



If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and skip answering Interrogatories # 30-34.

If your answer is "No", continue on to answer Interrogatory #30 and those thereafter.

05 JIM LED
OS JUN .
05 JUN 14 PM 4:45
11.45 4.45 11.00 1

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,)	CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.)	JURY INTERROGATORY # 30
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and)	
RALPH SAXER,)	
Defendants.)	

Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Saxer's acts were the proximate or legal cause of damages sustained by Plaintiff Radvansky?

Each of us said jurors concurring in said answer above and sign our name hereto on this

///// day of June, 2005.

If your answer is "Yes", you must enter judgment in favor of Plain of Radvansky on General Verdict Form #2 and continue on to answer Interrogatory #31 and those thereafter.

If you answer "No", you must enter judgment in favor of Defendant Saxer on General Verdict Form #2, and skip Interrogatories #31-34.

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 31
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
	e evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be sonable value of any property lost or destroyed
during, or as a result of, Defendant Saxer's un	nconstitutional acts?
Answer Yes or No	
Each of us said jurors concurring in sa	aid answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to automa lateral 4.22	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 32	

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 32
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of th	ne evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be
awarded damages to compensate for the reas-	onable cost of medical care and hospitalization as a
result of, Defendant Saxer's unconstitutional	acts?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in wh	nat amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in sa	aid answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 33	

GEOFFREY M. RADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 33
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.)
Do you find by a preponderance of	the evidence that Plaintiff Radvansky should be
awarded damages to compensate for physic	cal as well as emotional pain and mental anguish as a
result of, Defendant Saxer's unconstitution	nal acts?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in	what amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in	n said answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
Continue on to answer Interrogatory # 34	

GEOFFREY M. KADVANSKY,) CASE NO.: 1:02CV634
Plaintiff,) JUDGE JOHN R. ADAMS
v.) JURY INTERROGATORY # 34
OFFICERS THOMAS TELEGDY and RALPH SAXER,)))
Defendants.))
Do you find by a preponderance of the	e evidence that Defendant Saxer acted with callous
or reckless disregard or indifference to or wit	h reckless indifference to Plaintiff Radvansky's
federally protected rights and that punitive da	amages should be assessed against Defendant Saxer?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is "Yes", in wh	at amount? \$
Each of us said jurors concurring in sa	aid answer above and sign our name hereto on this
day of June, 2005.	
You are finished with the Interrogatories	